

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,754	YOSHIYAMA ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAE W. LEE	1656
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>After final rejection</u>
(1) <u>JAE W. LEE</u>		(3) _____
(2) <u>William Schmidt II</u> .		(4) _____
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2010</u>		Time: _____
Type of Interview:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
a potential 112 2 nd paragraph rejection		
Claims discussed:		
1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 21-31		
Prior art documents discussed:		
N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
<i>The Examiner explained the nature of the proposed Examiner's amendment which would likely put the claims into condition for allowance (see attached Examiner's amendment) because claim 9 had some issues under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph.</i>		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		